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#### Abstract

TITLE OF RESOLUTION: AN ACT RELATING TO LAW AND ORDER, NAABIK'ÍYÁTI' COMMITTEE AND NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL; ESTABLISHING THE NAVAJO NATION BUSINESS COURT; AMENDING NAVAJO NATION CODE, TITLE 7, COURTS AND PROCEDURE


PURPOSE: The purpose of this legislation is to establish a Navajo Nation Business Court within the District Courts of the Navajo Nation.

This written summary does not address recommended amendments as may be provided by the standing committees. The Office of Legislative Counsel requests each Council Delegate to review each proposed resolution in detail.
$\qquad$


TRACKING NO. 0145-19

AN ACT
RELATING TO LAW AND ORDER, NAABIK'ÍYÁTI' COMMITTEE AND NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL; ESTABLISHING THE NAVAJO NATION BUSINESS COURT;

AMENDING NAVAJO NATION CODE, TITLE 7, COURTS AND PROCEDURE

## BE IT ENACTED:

## Section One. Authority

A. The Law and Order Committee has legislative oversight over the Judicial Branch. 2 N.N.C. § 601(C)(1).
B. The Law and Order Committee of the Navajo Nation Council reviews and makes recommendations to the Navajo Nation Council proposed amendments to the Navajo Nation Code. 2 N.N.C. § 601 (B)(14).
C. The Naabik'íyáti' Committee of the Navajo Nation Council reviews proposed legislation which requires final action by the Navajo Nation Council. 2 N.N.C. § 164(A)(9)
D. Enactments of positive law must be reviewed and approved by resolution by the Navajo Nation Council. 2 N.N.C. § 164(A).
E. The Law and Order Committee's purpose is " $[\mathrm{t}] \mathrm{o}$ improve the administration of justice on the Navajo Nation by ensuring a justice system that is independent from political influence and that is accountable and responsible to the Navajo Nation in its administration and operations" and " $[t] 0$ protect the rights and interests of the Navajo

People by improving the quality and effectiveness of the justice system within the Navajo Nation. 2 N.N.C. § 600 (C)(1) and (2).
F. The Navajo Nation Council may create other Navajo Nation courts. 7 N.N.C. § 201 (B).
G. In 1985, the Navajo Nation Council enacted the Judicial Reform Act with the goal of strengthening the Navajo Nation Courts by creating the Navajo Nation Supreme Court that will hear appeals and render final judgments based on "law, equity, and tradition." CD-95-85, Whereas Clause 13.
H. Pursuant to CD-68-89, "[t]he Navajo Tribal Council further authorizes and directs that any amendment to ...the 1985 Judicial Reform Act, 7 N.T.C., Section 101 et. seq., shall require two-thirds (2/3) vote of the full membership of the Navajo Tribal Council...these amendments shall be presented at the regular session of the Navajo Tribal Council." CD-68-89, Resolved Clause 6.

## Section Two. Findings

A. A business court is trial court that specializes in disputes related to specified business activities.
B. A Navajo Nation business Court will allow a judge with at least five years of business experience to hear and make determinations on cases involving commercial or business disputes brought to the Navajo Nation District Courts.
C. The creation of business courts or courts with specialized dockets is an increasing trend in the United States. As of 2010, there were "over 40 court programs within 22 states." Exhibit A, Lee Applebaum, "The Steady Growth of Business Courts" Future Trends in State Courts (2011).
D. The creation of the Navajo Nation Business Court will improve the administration of justice, improve judicial quality and effectiveness, and protect the rights and interests of litigants that appear before Navajo courts.

## Section Two. Amending Navajo Nation Code Title 7

The Navajo Nation amends the Navajo Nation Code, Title 7 as follows:

Title 7. Courts and Procedure
Chapter 3. Judicial Branch
Subchapter 3. District Courts
§253. Jurisdiction-Generally ***
C. The Navajo Nation Business Court shall have original exclusive jurisdiction over all cases outlined in 7 N.N.C. § 294 (B).

Title 7. Courts and Procedure Chapter 3. Judicial Branch Subchapter 4a. Navajo Nation Business Court

## §294. Establishment and Eligible Cases

A. There is established the Navajo Nation Business Court.
B. The criteria for assignment or transfer to the Navajo Nation Business Court are:

1. Civil cases filed on or after March 31, 2020, and
2. Cases, as defined in 7 N.N.C. $\S 394$ (B)(3), in which at least fifty thousand ( $\$ 50,000$ ) dollars compensatory damages are alleged, or claims seeking primarily injunctive or declaratory relief, and
3. Cases which satisfy one or more of the following:
a. Relate to the internal affairs of businesses (i.e., corporations, limited liability companies, general partnerships, limited liability partnerships, sole proprietorships, professional associations, real estate investment trusts, and joint ventures), including the rights or obligations between or among shareholders, partners, and members, or the liability or indemnity of officers, directors, managers, trustees or partners:
b.Involve claims of breach of contract, fraud, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty or statutory violations or relationships;
c. Constitute a shareholder derivative or commercial class action;
d. Involve commercial real property disputes other than residential landlord-tenant disputes and foreclosures;
e. Involve business claims between or among two or more business entities or individuals as to their business or investment activities relating to contracts, transactions, or relationships between or among them:
f. Arise from technology licensing agreements, including software and biotechnology licensing agreements, or any agreement involving the licensing of any intellectual property right, including patent rights;
g.Constitute an action alleging violations of non-competition or nonsolicitation clauses, confidentiality agreements, or an antitrust, trade secret, or securities-related action;
h.Professional malpractice claims brought in connection with the rendering of professional services to a business enterprise;
i. Commercial construction contract dispute and/or commercial construction defect claims.

## §295. Excluded Cases

The following cases are excluded from the Navajo Nation Business Court:
A. Personal injury or wrongful death;
B. Professional malpractice claims:
C. Residential landlord-tenant matters, including residential foreclosure actions:
D. Employee/employer disputes, except where pendent or incidental to the matters listed in 7 N.N.C. § 294(B);
E. Health care liability;
F. Where the only claim is a professional fee dispute;
G. Where the Navajo Nation is a party;
H. Administrative appeals from the Office of Hearings and Appeals and the Navajo Nation Labor Commission, including tax and zoning matters.

## §296. Composition

A. The Navajo Nation Business Court shall consist of one district court judge, who shall be assigned by the Chief Justice.
B. The Navajo Nation Business Court judge shall be a state and Navajo Nation licensed attorney who has at least five years business experience.

## §297. Rules and Procedure

The Navajo Rules of Civil Procedure, the Navajo Rules of Evidence, and Navajo Rules of Court shall apply to the Navajo Nation Business Court. In addition, the Navajo Nation Business Court shall have broad discretion to establish Navajo Rules of the Business Court and to develop case management procedures to allow for more efficient handling of cases and produce quicker resolutions with reduced litigation in accordance with 7 N.N.C. § 601.

## §298. Appellate Review

The Navajo Nation Supreme Court shall have jurisdiction to hear appeals from final Navajo Nation Business Court judgments and other final orders as provided in 7 N.N.C. § 302. ***

Title 7. Courts and Procedure
Chapter 3. Judicial Branch
Subchapter 7. Justices and Judges
***
§354. Qualifications for judicial appointment
A. District Courts. The following standards and qualifications shall apply to all judicial appointments to the District Court of the Navajo Nation:
3. Education. Each applicant shall have earned, at a minimum, an Associate of Arts or Science degree from an accredited institution of higher education. An applicant who has earned a higher educational degree shall be preferred, with particular preference being given to a law degree (J.D. or LL.M.). Navajo Nation Business Court applicants shall hold a Juris Doctor (J.D.) degree from an ABA accredited law school.
4. Experience. Each applicant shall have at least four years direct work experience in a law related area and shall have a working knowledge of Navajo and applicable federal and state laws. Those applicants with experience working with the Navajo Nation Courts or with state and federal courts shall be preferred. Navajo Nation Business Court applicants shall have at least five years business experience.

Section Three. Directive to the Judicial Branch to Include Funding for the Business Court in the Navajo Nation Fiscal Year 2020 Budget Process

The Judicial Branch shall request an appropriation for the Navajo Nation Business Court in its Fiscal Year 2020 budget in accordance with 12 N.N.C. §840.

## Section Four. Codification

The provisions of the Act which amend or adopt new sections of the Navajo Nation Code shall be codified by the Office of Legislative Counsel. The Office of Legislative Counsel shall incorporate such amended provisions in the next codification of the Navajo Nation Code.

## Section Five. Saving Clause

Should any provision of this Act be determined invalid by the Navajo Nation Supreme Court or the District Courts of the Navajo Nation, without appeal to the Navajo Nation Supreme Court, the remainder of the Act shall remain the law of the Navajo Nation.

## Section Six. Effective Date

The provisions of this Act shall become effective in accord with 2 N.N.C. § 221(B).
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 As set forth in the accompanying charts, there has been a steady trend in the novel issues that will make important advances in the law. cases outside those categories requires procedural complexity or the presence of
 now found in the North Carolina Business Court, where certain specified case types

 technology, or commercial dispute, but also a list of criteria that a case must meet
 model, such as Maryland's Business and Technology Case Management Program complexity requirement. Another paradigm is the complex business court of the business court's jurisdiction. There is no additional express proceduralcase's subject matter falls within a defined list of case types that set the parameters Division, requires (1) a specific jurisdictional amount in controversy and (2) that the
 suit different jurisdictions' docket size, case management objectives, or both.


 These judges may not be as specialized as those with a docket solely dedicated to



there were still some constituencies that decided to create a business court. docket with unusual features was adopted but not used by lawyers and litigants,








 distinct instance not to create a business court. growing trend toward creating business courts because the choice existed in each by a state's highest court creating a business court docket, is more reflective of the

 business courts in those individual circuit courts. The number of decisions to create Florida's Ninth, Eleventh, Thirteenth, and Seventeenth judicial circuits to establish four separate and distinct decisions had to be made by administrative judges in Maryland to create the statewide BTCMP in all of Maryland's judicial circuits; but
 jurisdictions in which decisions had to be made to establish a business court. For









 10 , and the total for the ensuing eight years is 12 , for an 18 -ycar total of specialized
 the next five-year period increases to six, and years 11 to 15 increase from seven business court numbers in the first five years (1993-97) remain the same at four, but

 the American College of Business Court Judges some specialized-complex-litigation judges themselves, have become members of
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 business courts in purely commercial cases. In the second decade, however,
 court movement, Delaware Chancery Court basically remained the same 200 -




has been expanded in some business courts. added to a number of business courts; and the breadth of geographical jurisdiction pilot programs have been extended or permanently implemented; judges have been business courts will be ten years old or more by the end of this year. Numerous and has expanded and developed over that decade and a half; and at least six other and growing, over the last 18 years. North Carolina's Business Court is 15 years old Division in New York and Commercial Calendar in Chicago have been functioning, instead upon enhanced judicial specialization, none have failed. The Commercial Of the business courts not relying upon atypical procedural formats, which focus operational, the analysis of which is beyond the scope of this article. have been political or practical issues preventing the business court from becoming studied or created but never actually implemented or made operational, there
 least originally) were based upon a traditional format that was enhanced through cases to chancery judges in New Jersey on an expedited nonjury basis, none (at
 that have been unsuccessful, including the summary proceedings in the Delaware business courts will survive once created and operational. Of the business courts As nearly two decades have passed, there is also some ability to measure whether total of 41 , with West Virginia seemingly on the verge of making that 42 . first ten years, and 22 business courts created in the following eight vears, for a were created in years 16-18. This would make 19 business courts created in the
other nations, as well
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 view that commercial and business courts are necessary components of a region's


studied U.S. business courts, among others, in that process. Other nations, such as India, are currently considering commercial courts and have England, the Netherlands, and Switzerland have long-standing commercial courts. Tanzania, Thailand, Turkey, Uganda, and Ukraine. Austria, Belgium, France Ireland, Qatar, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Scotland, Serbia, South Africa, Spain, Israel, Lesotho, Malawi, Malaysia, Mauritius, Morocco, New Zealand, Northern Croatia, Dubai, Egypt, England and Walcs, Ghana, Guyana, Hong Kong, Ireland, Abu Dhabi, Argentina, Australia, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, Canada, various forms of commercial courts have been created or have expanded in, e.g.,
 The second point is the international development of commercial courts. During evolution to better meet new challenges facing all courts as meeting competition from other states' court systems, but as part of a judicial Commercial Litigation Docket. These steps can be reasonably understood not only
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 consider the following factors in actions presenting complex or novel commercial or technological

 effectively become specialized-business-court judges relative to those with a general docket.
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## MEMORANDUM

TO: $\quad$ Honorable Kee Allen Begay, Jr. $24^{\text {th }}$ Navajo Nation Council

FROM:


DATE: June 7, 2019

## SUBJECT: AN ACT RELATING TO LAW AND ORDER, NAABIK'İYÁTI' COMMITTEE AND NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL; ESTABLISHING THE NAVAJO NATION BUSINESS COURT; AMENDING NAVAJO NATION CODE, TITLE 7, COURTS AND PROCEDURE

As requested, I have prepared the above-referenced proposed resolution and associated legislative summary sheet pursuant to your request for legislative drafting. Based on existing law and review of documents submitted, the resolution as drafted is legally sufficient. As with any action of government however, it can be subject to review by the courts in the event of proper challenge.

Please ensure that this particular resolution request is precisely what you want. You are encouraged to review the proposed resolution to ensure that it is drafted to your satisfaction.

The Office of Legislative Counsel confirms the appropriate standing committee(s) based on the standing committees powers outlined in 2 N.N.C. $\S \$ 301,401,501,601$ and 701. Nevertheless, "the Speaker of the Navajo Nation Council shall introduce [the proposed resolution] into the legislative process by assigning it to the respective oversight committee(s) of the Navajo Nation Council having authority over the matters for proper consideration." 2 N.N.C. §164(A)(5).

If the proposed resolution is unacceptable to you, please contact me at the Office of Legislative Counsel and advise me of the changes you would like made to the proposed resolution.

## THE NAVAJO NATION <br> LEGISLATIVE BRANCH INTERNET PUBLIC REVIEW PUBLICATION

LEGISLATION NO: $\underline{0145-19}$
SPONSOR: Kee Allen Begay Jr.

TITLE: An Action Relating To Law And Order, NAABIK'IYATI' Committee And Navajo Nation Council; Establishing The Navajo Nation Business Court; Amending Navajo Nation Code, Title 7, Court And Procedure

Date posted: June 14, 2019 at 8:57 AM
Digital comments may be e-mailed to comments@navajo-nsn.gov
Written comments may be mailed to:

Executive Director<br>Office of Legislative Services<br>P.O. Box 3390<br>Window Rock, AZ 86515<br>(928) 871-7586

Comments may be made in the form of chapter resolutions, letters, position papers, etc. Please include your name, position title, address for written comments; a valid e-mail address is required. Anonymous comments will not be included in the Legislation packet.

[^0]
## THE NAVAJO NATION <br> LEGISLATIVE BRANCH <br> INTERNET PUBLIC REVIEW SUMMARY

LEGISLATION NO.: $\underline{0145-19}$
SPONSOR: Honorable Gee Allen Begay Jr.
TITLE: An Act Relating To law And Order, Naabik'íyáti' Committee and Navajo Nation Council; Establishing The Navajo Nation Business Court; Amending Navajo Nation Code, Title 7, Courts And Procedure

Posted: June 14, 2019 at 8:51 PM
5 DAY Comment Period Ended: June 19, 2019
Digital Comments received:

| Comments Supporting | None |
| :---: | :--- |
| Comments Opposing | None |
| Inconclusive Comments | Karen Francis, Government Relations Officer <br> Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation |
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## MEMORANDUM

To: Hon. Delegates
$24^{\text {th }}$ NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL

From: Karen Francis, Government Relations Officer
Office of the Chief Justice
JUDICIAL BRANCH OF THE NAVAJO NATION
Date: June 19, 2019
Re: Proposed Legislation No. 0145-19
I submit this public comment on behalf of the Judicial Branch. Legislation No. 0145-19 proposes the establishment of a business court within the Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation. The branch has several concerns regarding this proposal, which are outlined as follows:
A. It is unclear whether the creation of a Navajo Nation Business Court will improve the Navajo justice system.
The current judiciary is made up of nine highly competent trial judges who have all met the qualifications for judicial appointments pursuant to 7 N.N.C. § 353. Moreover, the Judicial Branch employs seven to nine full time staff attorneys who each hold a Juris Doctor degree from an ABA accredited law school and possess the necessary knowledge and skills to advise the judiciary on complex matters coming before the District Courts. While more qualified judges and justices are needed, there is no evidence set forth in the legislation which suggests the District Courts are inadequate to address business related matters.

## B. There has been no expressed need for a Business Court from the public.

The Judicial Branch has been involved in several initiatives to obtain input from the public and other entities of the Navajo Nation on our justice system beginning with a Criminal Justice Summit in 2015 at which time needs and fears were identified (domestic violence, substance abuse, and suicide). The effort continued
with the development of the Diné Action Plan when two workshops - open to the public and to our leadership - were held in 2016. The Diné Action Plan workshops identified the same three modern day nayée' that needed to be addressed by the Navajo Nation. Subsequently, the Judicial Branch was an active participant in the two Public Safety Summits held in 2017 and 2018, where both the public and justice system entities were also invited to provide input to improve the public safety and justice systems. The vision included the needs for communication, capacity building, consistency and uniformity, and community engagement. At none of these public forums was a need for a specialty court specifically for businesses identified as a pressing need of the Navajo Nation. Rather, Dr. Manley Begay, a professor of Indigenous Studies at Northern Arizona University, has found that a strong, independent judicial system free of political influence is key to economic development.

## C. The legislation will create an unfunded mandate.

The Judicial Branch is substantially underfunded. Creating another judge position with the stated qualifications will be a recurring cost that will require an increased allocation of funding to the Branch and due to the qualifications, will result in a judge position with a salary that is higher than the current starting salary of a Navajo Nation District Court Judge. With the significant decrease in revenues that the Navajo Nation is anticipating, it will be very difficult to increase the total allocation to the Judicial Branch, a cost that will need to be funded each year.
D. The stated qualifications will make the position difficult to fill.

The qualifications stated in the proposed legislation require an applicant to have 1) a J.D. degree, 2) a state bar license, and 3) five years of business experience, in addition to all of the standard qualifications of a district court judge applicant. These requirements will make this position extremely difficult to fill. There are already nine vacant District Court Judge positions and one vacant Associate Justice position on the Navajo Supreme Court, none of which require the additional qualifications stated in the legislation. Many of these positions have remained vacant for years as it is very hard to find qualified candidates. Finding a qualified applicant for the proposed position will be considerably more difficult because most applicants whom have a J.D. degree lack the five years of business experience required by the legislation. Further, the requirement of "business experience" is not defined in the legislation and is therefore vague and open to interpretation.

The difficulty of filling judge positions is reflected in the number of applicants for judge and justice. In the past year, the Judicial Branch has received only two completed applications for district court judge and no completed application for Associate Justice. Only one applicant (for district court judge) has been forwarded to the President for consideration of probationary appointment in the past year. These applications are for positions that do not require a J.D. degree, a state bar license, and five years of business experience.

## E. The resulting business court judge will have a low caseload.

Compared to other types of cases that are filed in the Navajo Nation District Courts, the number of commercial cases filed with our courts is relatively low. The following chart shows the number of such cases from FY 2015 to FY 2019. Note that these numbers could include cases where the amount of compensatory damages alleged falls below the $\$ 50,000$ minimum contemplated by the legislation. Therefore, the number of cases actually assigned or transferred to a Business Court could be even smaller.

## Business and commercial cases

|  | FY2015 | FY2016 | FY2017 | FY2018 | FY2019 <br> $*$ | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Business Transaction | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2.2 |
| Consumer Law | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 |
| Employment Contract | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lease Agreement | 5 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 7.8 |
| Loan Agreement | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 |
| Repossession | 617 | 689 | 597 | 609 | 349 | 572.2 |
| Sales Contract | 14 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7.2 |
| Service Contract | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Tenant Eviction | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 648 | 727 | 626 | 621 | 360 | 596.4 |
| Percentage of total caseload | $1.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | * as of 6/17/19 |  |

Based on this information it is clear that the proposed legislation will result in the creation of a court that will have a position that will be difficult to fill, is expensive to fund and maintain, and whose caseload will be small.

## Alternative to Business Court

The rules of arbitration and the qualifications of arbiters should be developed in accordance with the Arbitration Act. This is an area that the Judicial Branch has already identified as a need to address. A task force to begin drafting the rules will be forthcoming in accordance with Title 7.

## LAW AND ORDER COMMITTEE <br> $24^{\mathrm{TH}}$ NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL

FIRST YEAR 2019

## COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker,
The LAW AND ORDER COMMITTEE to whom has been assigned:
Legislation No. 0145-19: An Act Relating to Law and Order, Naabik'iyati' Committee and Navajo Nation Council; Establishing the Navajo Nation Business Court; Amending Navajo Nation Code, Title 7, Courts and Procedure - Sponsor: Honorable Ne A. Begay, Jr.

This legislation was tabled at the request of the sponsor for 30 days and will stay with Law \& Order Committee until August 29, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,


Eugenia Charles-Newton, Chairwoman Law and Order Committee
$24^{\text {th }}$ Navajo Nation Council

Date: July 01, 2019
Main Motion: Honorable Otto Ts
Second : Honorable Vince James
Vote : (Vote not taken yet)

## Motion to Table:

Motion: Honorable Eugene Ts
Second: Honorable Otto Iso
Vote: 3-0-1

## LAW AND ORDER COMMITTEE <br> Special Meeting <br> July 01, 2019

## VOTE TALLY SHEET:

Legislation No. 0145-19: An Act Relating to Law and Order, Naabik'iyati' Committee and Navajo Nation Council; Establishing the Navajo Nation Business Court; Amending Navajo Nation Code, Title 7, Courts and Procedure - Sponsor: Honorable Re A. Begay, Jr.

Main Motion: Otto Ts
VOTE TALLY:
Yea:
Nay:
Not Voting:

Second: Vince James
Vote: Vote not taken

Motion to Table: Eugene To
Table Vote Tally:
Yea: VJames/OTso/ETso
Nay:
Not Voting: ECNewton
Absent: EYazzie


Eugenia Charles-Newton, Chairwoman


Laureen Spencer, Legislative Advisor Law and Order Committee


[^0]:    Please note: This digital copy is being provided for the benefit of the Navajo Nation chapters and public use. Any political use is prohibited. All written comments received become the property of the Navajo Nation and will be forwarded to the assigned Navajo Nation Council standing committee(s) and/or the Navajo Nation Council for review. Any tampering with public records are punishable by Navajo Nation law pursuant to 17 N.N.C. $\$ 374$ et. seq.

