# RESOLUTION OF THE <br> BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE OF THE NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL <br> $23^{\text {RD }}$ NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL - Third Year, 2017 

## AN ACTION

RELATING TO BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE; AMENDING THE NAVAJO NATION FISCAL YEAR BUDGET INSTRUCTIONS MANUAL TO REQUIRE BUDGETING FOR THE RETIREMENT PORTION OF THE FRINGE BENEFIT RATE FOR VACANT POSITIONS

BE IT ENACTED:

SECTION ONE. AUTHORITY
A. The Budget and Finance Committee is empowered to promulgate rules and regulations relative to contracting, investments, and financial matters. 2 N.N.C § 301 (B) (1).
B. The Budget and Finance Committee is responsible for approving the budget instructions for each fiscal year. 12 N.N.C. § $830(\mathrm{H})$

SECTION TWO. FINDINGS
A. The Navajo Nation Retirement Plan is currently funded at approximately 80 percent. Since the Retirement Program is not fully funded, the Navajo Nation funds its remaining liability through a portion of the fringe benefit rate. See pages 22 and 23. The Retirement Plan for Employees of the Navajo Nation: Legislative, Judicial, and Executive Branch Meeting, February 7, 2017, attached as Exhibit A.
B. The current fringe benefit rate attributable to the Nation's Retirement Plan is estimated to be at the following levels for Fiscal Year (FY 2018):

| Regular Employees and Council Delegates | $24.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commissioned Law Enforcement Officers | $22.4 \%$ |
| Navajo Nation Judges | $44.0 \%$ |

See Exhibit A, page 23.
C. Fringe rates for any fiscal year are determined based on the results from the beginning of the prior fiscal year. Fringe rates are determined as the sum of (1) the cost of benefits accruing during the fiscal year (normal cost) divided by expected payroll and (2) the 10-year amortization of the accumulated historical experience gains and losses divided by the expected payroll. See Exhibit A, page 22.
D. The final fringe benefit rates for Fiscal Year 2018 are expected to be completed by March 2, 2017. See Exhibit A, page 22.

## SECTION THREE. AMENDMENT

A. The Budget and Finance Committee hereby amends Budget Instruction Manual, Section VIII. B. 7. as follows:
7. Budgeting Fringe Benefits. Use the appropriate rates in Appendix $E$ to calculate applicable fringe benefits for all budgeted positions and associated salaries. Fringe benefits must be budgeted for all personnel costs such as salaries, overtime and bonuses, including any changes made to the personnel salary. These amounts are to be budgeted in object code 2900. Managers are to budget an amount equal to the annual fringe rate attributable to retirement benefits for all vacant positions. These rates will be updated annually in Appendix $E$.
B. This amendment shall be effective upon the Budget and Finance Committee's approval and shall remain in effect until amended.

SECTION FOUR. DIRECTIVE TO OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

The Office of Management and Budget is directed to make the changes approved in section Three of this resolution to the Budget Instruction Manual.

## CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly considered by the Budget and Finance Committee of the Navajo Nation Council at a duly called meeting held at Window Rock, Navajo Nation (Arizona), at which a quorum was present and that the same was passed by a vote of 4 in favor and 0 opposed, this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of March, 2017.


Motion: Honorable Tom T. Ghee
Second: Honorable Tuchoney Slim, Jr.

Topics for Discussion - Retirement Plan Overview

- Increase Normal Retirement Age
- Preliminary Fiscal 2018 Fringe Rates
- Judges' Plan
- Law Enforcement Plan
- Certification

正
Sources of Post-Retirement Income

Retirement Plan Provisions
Defined benefit retirement plan covers central government, including regular employees, judges and commissioned law enforcement officers ("CLEO"), the President and Vice President, political appointees, Council delegates, and participating certified chapters

- Frozen benefit obligations assumed by central government for Navajo Forest Products Industries ("NFPI") because operations shut down
Frozen benefit obligations assum result of the debt reduction plan
- In discussion with NACE on plans to settle frozen benefit obligations
Benefit equal to $\mathbf{2 \%}$ of final average earnings times years and months of benefit service
Final average earnings are the highest 36 consecutive months of base salary rate during the last 120 months
- For judges appointed on or after $1 / 29 / 2003,2.5 \%$ of final average earnings times up to 30 years of benefit service (i.e.,
- For judges appointed before $1 / 29 / 2003$, benefit is equal to $50 \%$ for the first eight years and another $50 \%$ for the next seven years of benefit service times final year's pay ( $100 \%$ of final year's pay after 15 years of service) Normal retirement age is $\mathbf{6 0}$ (age 55 for CLEO)
- Early retirement reduced 5\% per year prior to Normal Retirement Age
- Most DB plans have a normal retirement age at 65 (Social Security ranges from 65 to 67)


## Ancillary benefits:

- Pre-retirement spousal death and disability benefits
- Optional forms, such as Joint and Survivor
Data Summary by Group
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10/1/2016 Valuation Results Summary
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Factors to be Considered for a Plan Redesign
- Expected/optimal retirement age and tenure
What is the expected hire age?
How long are employees expected to work?
Full benefit expected to accrue over a full career
Retirement income versus current compensation
- What is the target replacement ratio?
- Many studies have said $75 \%-80 \%$, but rule does not hold in all case.
- What protection is available against post retirement inflation? 401(k) savings?
Other sources of income - 401(k), Social Security, etc.
Competitiveness - How does the benefit compare to surrounding states and
Federal benefits?
- Internal equity- How do benefits vary among participants within the plan? New Regular employees, Judges, Safety? Generational benefits?
What is the cost associated with providing the benefits? Who pays? Who is
responsible for the investment risk?
Plan Design Alternatives - Effective December 31, 2016

| Year of Birth | Age at Effective Date (12/31/16) | Normal Retirement Age (NRA) | Months between age 55 and NRA | Benefit Reduction if Commencement is Age 55 | Impact of Plan Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 and earlier | 55 or older | 60 | 60 | 25.00\% | No change |
| 1962 | 54 | 60 and 2 months | 62 | 25.83\% |  |
| 1963 | 53 | 60 and 4 months | 64 | 26.67\% |  |
| 1964 | 52 | 60 and 6 months | 66 | 27.50\% |  |
| 1965 | 51 | 60 and 8 months | 68 | 28.33\% |  |
| 1966 | 50 | 60 and 10 months | 70 | 29.17\% |  |
| 1967 | 49 | 61 | 72 | 30.00\% | No change for retirement at 61 or older; An additional 5\% reduction for early retirement |
| 1968 | 48 | 61 and 2 months | 74 | 30.83\% |  |
| 1969 | 47 | 61 and 4 months | 76 | 31.67\% |  |
| 1970 | 46 | 61 and 6 months | 78 | 32.50\% |  |
| 1971 | 45 | 61 and 8 months | 80 | 33.33\% |  |
| 1972 | 44 | 61 and 10 months | 82 | 34.17\% |  |
| 1973 and later | 43 or younger | 62 | 84 | 35.00\% | No change for retirement at 62 or older; An additional 10\% reduction for early retirement |

The Navajo Nation Retirement Plan - Normal Retirement Date Change
Phase-In Design 1 - Increase Based on Year of Birth

## Benefit Reduction Commencem Months between age 55 and NRA

## Normal Retirement Age (NRA)
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The Navajo Nation Retirement Plan - Normal Retirement Date Change
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Benefit Calculations - Example 1

Benefit Calculations - Example 2

Impact on Plan Financials

| Phase In |
| :--- |
| Design 2 |
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Other Considerations Life expectancy for the general population in the U.S. has been increasing,
which puts financial pressure on retirement systems.

- In 1935 when Social Security was enacted, life expectancy was $60-62$, today it is $85-87$, which
means fewer years of paying into the system and more years of payout.

- For the Nation's DB plan, this ratio was almost 5:1 at 10/1/02 and is less than 1.4:1 at 10/1/15.
Navajo Nation's DB retirement plan participants may not have the same life
expectancy or trend in life expectancy as the general population of the U.S..
Other Considerations (continued)
- When are people actually retiring?



1. From htt://www.azcentral.com/news/articies/arizona-pension-funds.html published on AZCentral.com
2. From hovember 14, 2010 hi/fah.gov/treasurer/documents/investor-retirement/2012\%20URS\%20Report\%20LR.pdf published on Utah.gov Annual Financial Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2012.
Average age at retirement during 2012-2013 from the PERA of New Mexico Annual Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2013
Average age at retirement as of 12/31/2012 from the Colorado PERA Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended December 31, 2012

Colorado
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## New Mexico

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Age } 65 \text { or } 85 \text { Points } \\ \text { (with } 8 \text { yrs of svc) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Any }+35 \text { years } \\ 58+30 \text { years } \\ \\ \\ 65+\text { any }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| (EAN $7 / 1 / 12$ ) | $(12 / 31 / 13)$ |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Age } 65 \text { or } 85 \text { Points } \\ \text { (with } 8 \text { yrs of svc) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Any }+35 \text { years } \\ 58+30 \text { years } \\ \\ \\ 65+\text { any }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| (EAN $7 / 1 / 12$ ) | $(12 / 31 / 13)$ |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cc}\hline \text { Age } 65 \text { or } 85 \text { Points } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Any }+35 \text { years } \\
\text { (with } 8 \text { yrs of svc) }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\& 58+30 years <br>

65+any\end{array}\right]\)|  | $58 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ | $(12 / 31 / 13)$ |
| (EAN 7/1/12) |  |



| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Age } 65 \text { or } 85 \text { Points } \\ \text { (with } 8 \text { yrs of svc) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Any }+35 \text { years } \\ 58+30 \text { years } \\ 65+\text { any }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| (EAN 71112) | $(12 / 31 / 13)$ |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cc}\hline \text { Age } 65 \text { or } 85 \text { Points } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Any }+35 \text { years } \\
\text { (with } 8 \text { yrs of svc) }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\& 58+30 years <br>

65+any\end{array}\right]\)|  | $58 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ | $(12 / 31 / 13)$ |
| (EAN 7/1/12) |  |
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Other Considerations (continued)

- Changing the Retirement Age will have an impact on workforce management


## Disadvantages <br> Advantages

## Employees may retire on the job

DB plan will be a less effective recruiting tool
Ability to work/health consideration at later ages
Fewer job openings for younger, less expensive
new hires
Less retirement security for those who retire earlier
Impact on morale; perceived benefit cut

- Alignment of valuation assumptions may change the financial impact
- May need to recognized an increase in life expectancy or changes in termination/retirement behavior.


## Encourages long service so institutional

 knowledge is retainedHelps the plans worker to retiree ratio eligible and near full Social Security age
Improved sustainability of plan costs
Improved generational equity
Highlights of the 10/1/2016 Valuation Results

## October 1, 2016

## \$ 925.8 <br> 741.5 <br> 184.3 <br> 80.1\%

October 1, 2015
\$883.6
659.0

## 224.6

74.6\% October 1, 2014

## \$ 844.7 <br>  <br> 189.6 <br> 76.7\%

## Funded Status

## Actuarial Accrued Liability

## Market Value of Assets <br> Shortfall/(Surplus)

Funded Status

## Funding Policy Fringe Rates

## FY 2016 FY $2017^{3}$



## $23.0 \%$ $25.0 \%$ $37.0 \%$

and applied eventy to all groups. Under usual method, rates would have been $24.0 \%, 25.9 \%$,and $58.6 \%$, respectively.
1 FY 2014 used an alternative approach whereby normal cost was determined separately for each group and amortization rates for each year are determined for the whole plan 2 FY 2015 fringe rates include the impact of the $2 \%$ ad hoc COLA per our projection provided to The Navajo Nation on June 19, 2014. Rates prior to the $2 \%$ ad hoc COLA were $23.0 \%, 25.0 \%$ and $37.0 \%$ respectively.
3 FY 2017 used an alternative approach whereby normal cost was determined separately for each group and amortization rates for each year are determined for the whole plan and applied evenly to all groups. Under usual method, rates would have been $27.2 \%, 25.8 \%$, and $56.6 \%$, respectively.

#  

## Navajo Nation Fringe Rates - Background

Estimated Fiscal 2018 Fringe Rates - Alternatives
 Discount Rate $=6.50 \%$ $\$ 158,424$
13,533
1,225
$\$ 173,182$ 응 $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\text { º }}}$

20.1\% $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\text { ®. }}{\text { ö }}$



\section*{$\$$ in 000 's} | N |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| $\dot{\sim}$ |
| $\dot{\sigma}$ | 1,170 168 \$16,020 $\$ 31,827$ 2,654 $\underline{475}$

$\$ 34,956$ \$34,956
 \% \$50,976
Buy-Out Judge's Plan

The Transaction Summary

Retired: 25*
Terminated Vested: 0

| Active: | $14^{\star *}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Total: | 38 |

[^0]

## Freeze benefits in Judge's Plan

 date

- Current Judges would enter the regular employee plan for accruals on service after the freeze date


## Advantages:

- Reduced plan size


## Reduced volatility

Disadvantages:
Cost is much greater than plan liability held for Judges
Based on the current insurance market,
we expect annuity bids based on:

- RP / MP 2015 blue collar mortality 2.5\%-3.25\% interest
Possibly a 5\% load Possibly a 5\% load on actives
Buy-Out Judge's Plan


## Financial impact

| 10/1115 | Current Plan | Freeze and Start in Regular Employee Plan | Estimated Annuity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirees | 10,863,000 | 10,863,000 | 16,295,000 |
| Active | 4,882,000 | 3,224,000 | 5,480,000 |
| Total | 15,745,000 | 14,087,000 | 21,775,000 |
| ssets | 12,409,000 | 12,409,000 | 12,409,000 |
| (Shortfall) | $(3,336,000)$ | $(1,678,000)$ | $(9,366,000)$ |
| Savingss/(Cost) | N/A | 1,658,000 | $(7,688,000)$ |
| Normal Cost | 118,000 | 147,000** | 147,000* |

- Freezing the plan generated a short term savings, but increases the cost of benefits accruing: - Judges service cap ( 15 years under the old plan and 30 under the new) would be "winners" and begin to accrue again; however, they would lose pay increases on their frozen Judge's benefit. Judges not at the service cap would be "losers" and have their benefit accruals cut from $2.5 \%$ to 2.0\% and would lose pay increases on their frozen Judge's benefit


## The plan can be frozen without an annuity buy-out

|  | Navajo Nation | Arizona | Utah | New Mexico | Colorado | Federal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit at Normal Retirement | 2.5\% x Final Average Pay $x$ Years of Service (YOS) <br> (max of 75\%) | Starting January <br> 1, 2014, new members are only eligible for a 401(k)-style retirement system. | (5.0\% x First 10 YOS + 2.25\% x <br> Next 10 YOS + <br> $1.0 \% \times$ Thereafter) <br> x Final Average Pay | $3.75 \% \times$ Final <br> Salary x YOS <br> (max of 75\%) | 2.5\% x Final Average Pay x YOS (max of 100\% of FAP) | Final Salary |
| Final Average Pay | Highest 36 months out of the last 120 | N/A | Highest 2 years in Judicial Service | Final Salary | Average of highest three periods of 12 consecutive months | Final Salary |
| Normal Retirement Age (NRA) | Age 60 | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \text { YOS } \\ & \text { Age } 55 \& 20 \text { YOS } \\ & \text { Age } 62 \& 10 \text { YOS } \\ & \text { Age } 70 \& 6 \text { YOS } \end{aligned}$ | Age 60 \& 15 YOS Age 64 \& 5 YOS | 35 YOS Age $58 \& 30$ YOS Age 65 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } 65 \& \\ 80 \text { points } \\ \text { (age }+ \text { YOS) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Early Retirement | Age 55, reduced 5\%/year | N/A | Not Permitted | Not Permitted | Varies, Approximate reduction 5\%/year | $Y O S>=8$ <br> Reduced 2\%/year up to a maximum of 20\% |
| Vesting | 100\% after 4 YOS or at NRA | N/A | 6 YOS | 5 YOS | 5 YOS | 14 YOS |
| Normal Form | Life Annuity | N/A | Life Annuity | Life Annuity | Life Annuity | Life Annuity |
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|  | Nvaji Maton | Alizona | Uan | New Wexto | Colorado | Fesereal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pierenement | So\%ospa | Na |  |  |  |  |
| Disalily Eenett |  | NA | None | 5ind |  |  |
| COLA | asthoc | Na | Upioatsamuad | None |  | Eamaliocane in |
| Emploe | No | Na | Yesacurany O | 10.580 foreval | $10.58 \%$ foreyol | 27\%\% deayen |
| Finmes staus | ${ }^{74 \%}$ | 58\% | $75 \%$ | 51\% | st\% | Na" |


|  | Navajo Nation | Arizona | Utah | New Mexico | Colorado | Federal (FERS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit at Normal Retirement | $2 \%$ x Years of Service (YOS) $x$ FAE | $2.5 \% \times$ Years of Service (YOS) (max 80\%) x FAE | $1.5 \% \times$ Years of Service (YOS) x FAE + a 1.33\% DC contribution ${ }^{2}$ | $3 \% \times$ Years of Service (YOS) (max 90\%) x FAE | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[2 \% \times 1^{\text {st }} 10\right. \text { YOS }} \\ & +2.5 \% \times \text { YOS }^{2} \\ & \text { above } 10] \times \mathrm{FAE}^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[1.7 \% \times 1^{\text {st }} 20\right.} \\ & \text { YOS }+1 \% \times \text { YOS } \\ & \text { above } 20] \times \text { FAE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Final Average Earnings (FAE) | Highest 36 months out of the last 120 | Highest 60 months out of the last 240 | Highest 5 years | Highest 60 months | Highest 3 years | Highest 3 years |
| Pensionable earnings | Base Pay = Hourly Rate x 2080 / 12 (adjusted if parttime) | Base wages, shift and military differential wages, compensatory time, holiday and overtime pay, longevity pay | Salary | Salary | Base salary, including longevity pay, sick leave pay, vacation leave pay, shift differential, and certain overtime | Basic salary, including shift rates; but excluding overtime, bonuses, etc. |
| Normal Retirement Age (NRA) | 55 | 52.5 w/ 25 YOS | 65 w/ 4 YOS 25 YOS | 60 w/ 6 YOS 25 YOS | 55 w/25 YOS | 62 w/ 5 YOS 50 w/ 20 YOS 25 YOS |
| Early Retirement | Age 45, reduced 5\%/year from 55 | Same as Normal | $62 \mathrm{w} / 10$ YOS or $60 \mathrm{w} / 20$ YOS, AE reduction | Same as Normal | 50 or 30 YOS, reduced to be equivalent | 55-57 w/ 10 YOS, reduced 5\%/year before 62 |
| Vesting | 4 YOS or at NRA | 25 YOS <br> (EEC are vested) | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { YOS } \\ \text { (EEC are vested) } \end{gathered}$ | 6 YOS <br> (EEC are vested) | 5 YOS <br> (EEC are vested) | 5 YOS |
| Terminated Vested Benefit | Same as early retirement | Deferred normal retirement benefit | Deferred normal retirement benefit | Deferred normal retirement benefit | Deferred normal retirement benefit | Deferred normal or early retirement benefit |
| Normal Form | Life Annuity | Unreduced 80\% J\&S | Life annuity | Life Annuity | Life Annuity | Unreduced 50\% J\&S |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
 LS $=50 \%$ of final
salary $+\$ 15,000$
increased by COLA $(\$ 30,792.98$ on/after 12/1/11) + if 10 YOS, annuity
$=50 \%$ QPSA
Annuity until 18
( 22 if student) or if (22 if student) or if
disabled, if employed at death
$60 \% 12$ months
then $40 \%$ less SS then $40 \%$ less SS
disability then
 with service
projected to 62
Yes ~ 2.4\%/year Military, civilian,
volunteer
No, but phased
retirement
Notes: 1 - Deferred Retirement Option Plan
$2-60 \%$ if service related; otherwise based on service - $0 \%$ if less than $4 ; 15 \%$ for $4-6 ; 30 \%$ for $>6-13$; and $40 \%$ for $>13$. 3 - Catastrophic in the line of duty pays $90 \%$ of FAE for 60 months then $62.5 \%$. Smaller benefits for ordinary and temporary disability.

| Comparison to Neighboring States and Fede Funding and Other Post Retirement Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Navajo Nation | Arizona | Utah | New Mexico | Colorado | Federal (FERS) |
| Employee Contributions | None | Currently $11.65 \%$ (Min of $7.65 \%$ ) | Currently 0\% | 8.72\% | Increasing from 8\% in 2014 to 12\% in 2022 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Employer Policy Contribution Rate | $\begin{gathered} 25.8 \% \\ (8.9 \% \text { NC) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.4 \%^{1} \\ (12.2 \% \mathrm{NC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \%^{3} \\ (10.1 \% \mathrm{NC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \% \\ (20.9 \% \mathrm{NC}) \end{gathered}$ | 8\% | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \% \\ \text { (NC less EEC) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Funding Policy | Current cost plus shortfall(surplus) over rolling 10 years | Current cost plus shortfall/(surplus) over 21 years ending 6/30/37 | Current cost plus shortfall/(surplus) over 20 years | Statutory rates not less than current cost plus fund shortfall 30 years | Statutory rates | FERS Aggregate Normal Cost less employee contributions |
| Funded Status | 80\% at 10/1/15 | 49\% at 7/1/15 | $117 \%{ }^{3}$ at 1/1/14 | 121\% at 6/30/15 | 101\% at 1/1/14 | 91\% at 9/30/14 |
| Assumed Future Return on Assets (Discount Rate) | 7.00\% | 7.85\% | 7.50\% | 7.75\% | 7.50\% | 5.25\% |
| Post Retirement Health | No | Yes <br> \$100-\$260/month towards state coverage | Varies by Employer, Medicare supplement | Yes, optional, retiree pays reduced premium based on service | Yes, optional, retiree pays premium | Yes, continuation of subsidized active coverage |
| DC Plan | Yes - Match of $50 \%$ of 1 st $6 \%$ | Yes - No ER contributions | Yes - No ER contributions | Yes - 457 w/o ER contributions | Few ERs provide a 457 | Thrift Savings <br> Plan w/ 1\% ER contribution |
| Social Security | Yes | Varies by Employer ${ }^{2}$ | Varies by Employer | Varies by Employer | No | Yes plus a SS bridge to 62 if retire with an unreduced FERS benefit |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:
1-Special phase-in rule allows a rate as low as $38.6 \%$.

- In Arizona, the following positions are frequently excluded from Section 218 agreements: Fire personnel and Police officers
3 - Tier II only. Excludes $18.5 \%$ employer contributions towards funding old formula.
O 2016 Willis Towers Watson. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential. For Willis Towers Watson and Willis Towers Watson client use only.
Coordination with Social Security and Medicare - The federal government provides Social Security benefits, which are separate from State and Federal employer benefit programs. Social Security and employer benefit programs, along with the member's personal savings, are each considered essential components of a member's total post-retirement income requirements.
- Public employees were not provided Social Security coverage when the Social Security Act was enacted in 1935. Beginning in 1951, each state was authorized to enter into a voluntary agreement with the federal government to provide Social Security coverage to employees of the state. This type of public employer agreement is termed a Section 218 agreement because it is authorized by Section 218 of the Social Security Act. - Positions that are specifically excluded from coverage under the provisions of Section Positions that are specifically excluded from coverage under the provisions of Section 218 are not subject to Medicare taxes under those provisions. However, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (OBRA '85) made state and local employees hired after March 31, 1986 subject to mandatory Medicare coverage, unless specifically excluded by law. This means public employees hired after that date must be covered for Medicare even employees in positions, such as fire or police positions, that are typically excluded from a Section 218 agreement.
Coordination with Social Security and Medicare (continued)
- Social Security contributions (withholding):
- Retirement (OASDI): $6.2 \%$ employee $+6.2 \%$ employer, each up to the taxable wage base
- Medicare (HI): $1.45 \%$ employee $+1.45 \%$ employer (higher amounts for very high paid) - Social Security retirement benefit for 2016 is the sum of:
a) 90 percent of the first $\$ 856$ of his/her average indexed monthly earnings, plus
b) 32 percent of his/her average indexed monthly earnings over $\$ 856$ and through $\$ 5,157$, plus
For someone earning $\$ 55,000$ at retirement in 2016, their Social Security benefit would be:
" ~\$22,000/year or a $40 \%$ replacement at full Social Security Normal Retirement Age of 66
- $\sim \$ 17,000$ year or a $30 \%$ replacement if taken at earliest Social Security Retirement Age of 62


## Participant Illustration <br> Peer Comparison

- Future retirement benefit for a newly hired member
\$55,000
Colorado $\quad$ Federal (FERS)

| Assume 6\% | Assume 6\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contributions | contributions | Assume 7\% <br> contributions <br> $(6 \% \mathrm{EE}+0 \% \mathrm{ER})$ |
| $(6 \% \mathrm{EE}+0 \% \mathrm{ER})$ | $(6 \% \mathrm{EE}+1 \% \mathrm{ER})$ |  |

- Benefit amounts are for a full career - Hired at age 25,
Arizona $\quad$ Utah
$1.5 \% \times 30 \times$
$\$ 51,404=$
$\$ 23,132 /$ year at
age 55
Assume 7.33\%
contributions
$(6 \% E E+1.33 \%$
ER)
42\% DB + $25 \% 401(k)=$
$67 \%$

$$
\begin{gathered}
2.5 \% \times 30 \times \\
\$ 51,404= \\
\$ 38,553 / \text { year at } \\
\text { age } 55
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume 6\%
contributions ( $6 \% \mathrm{EE}+0 \% \mathrm{ER}$ )
$58 \% \mathrm{DB}+\quad 70 \% \mathrm{DB}+$
$31 \% 401(k)=\quad 21 \% 401(k)=$
$2.0 \% \times 30 \times$
$\$ 31,897 / y$ ear at
age 55
Assume 9\%
contributions
$(6 \% \mathrm{EE}+3 \% \mathrm{ER})$
anco 89\%

## - Replacement Target $=75 \%-80 \%$ from all sources



- Replacement Ratios in the chart above do not include benefits from Social Security, where applicable
- OASDI is estimated to replace roughly $30 \%$ at age 62 and includes COLA HI is not available until 65
1 - Assumes $3.5 \%$ salary increases and $6 \%$ investment return.


$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Replacement Ratio } & 58 \% \mathrm{DB}+ \\
(\mathrm{DB}+401(\mathrm{k})) & 31 \% 401(\mathrm{k})=
\end{array}
$$


Trends

- Utah - effective July 1, 2011, defined benefit plan (highest 3 years of pay times $2.5 \%$ per
year of service up to $20+2 \%$ above 20) was closed to new hires and replaced with a
- A hybrid = consisting of a pension of highest 5 years of pay times $1.5 \%$ per year of service + a DC contribution equal to $12 \%$ less the pension cost. Employee contributions may be required if the pension cost exceeds 10\%
Arizona - effective in 2012, 25 years of service are required for a pension benefit
- New benefits effective $7 / 1 / 17$
- New Mexico - effective July 1, 2013 , new hires are eligible for a new formula (called tier 2)
- Eliminated $20 \%$ service boost, increase FAE period from 3 years to 5 years, later retirement
- Arizona - early 2016 Senate bill proposes smaller pension benefits to newly hired police
and firefighters and reducing cost-of-living increases for current retirees
- Estimate it would save $\$ 3.6$ billion during the next 30 year
- Supported by Police and firefighter unions, the mayors of Phoenix and Mesa
- In summary:
- Cost cutting measures - lower multipliers, lower COLAs, longer FAE periods, later retirement ages
- Risk cutting measures - mover towards fixed ER contributions and DC
- 2016 willis Towers watson. All ights resenved Propinitary and confidential. For willis towers watson and willis towers walson dient uso only.
A defined contribution = 12\% employer only
choice between a defined contribution and a hybrid
- A defined contribution $=12 \%$ employer only
Certification
Certification
The results included in this presentation are based on the data and
assumptions outlined in the actuarial valuation report as of October 1, 2015 and
presentation dated December 8, 2016, unless stated otherwise. Therefore, the
descriptions of the data, assumptions, methods, plan provisions and limitations
of the valuation reports and their use should be considered part of this
presentation.
The undersigned consultants with actuarial credentials meet the Qualification
Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions
contained herein. To our knowledge, our objectivity is not impaired by any
relationship between the plan sponsor and our employer, Towers Watson
Delaware Inc.
Sincerely yours,



## The results included in this presentation are based on the data and


[^0]:    
    ** 5 are at the service cap and no longer accruing.

[^1]:    plan for a flat $12 \%$ employer contribution. plan for a flat 12\% employer contribution.
    2 - Plus a "separate retirement account" for

